ITU/F008/01



PRESENTATION EVALUATION FORM

(To be completed by Academic Supervisor)

Each presentation should last 10 to 15 minutes (inclusive of Question & Answer session). The supervisor is to chair presentations. (Refer to Table A for marking criteria).

Student Name	:		
ID Number	:	Session:	
Program	:		
Academic Supervisor	r :		
		MAR	KS
	CATEGORIES	GIVEN	FULL
Knowledge of the s	subject		40
Organization, langu	uage and delivery		20
Critical / analytical	/ creative skills		20
Question handling			20
	TOTAL		/ 100
	IOIAL		(20 %)
Comments or Sugg	gestions:		
	t the above student has prese been evaluated fairly and pro	ented the Industrial Training Pres	sentation as required by
Sincerely,			
Name: Date: Position: Official Stamp:			

Table A: Description of Rank (Presentation Rubric)

	CATEGORY									
RANK	RANK Knowledge		Organization / Language / Delivery		Critical/analytical/creative thinking skills		Question Handling			
	Mark	Description	Mark	Description	Mark	Description	Mark	Description		
Excellent	36-40	- Excellent knowledge of the subject and application of the knowledge. - Very clear analysis of the problem - Original / Outstanding project	18-20	- Very well organized - Very clear objectives - Excellent language - Very confident delivery	18-20	- Excellent ideas / new areas for research - Clearly feasible	18-20	- Answer questions very well / very competently / concisely / clearly		
Very Good	31-35	 Very good knowledge of the subject and application of the knowledge. Clear analysis of the problem Very good project 	15-17	- Well organized - Clear objectives - Very good language - Confident delivery	15-17	- Very good ideas / areas for research - Feasible ideas	15-17	- Answer questions quite well / competently / concisely / clearly		
Good	26-30	 Good knowledge of the subject and application of the knowledge. Fairly clear analysis of the problem Good project 	10-14	Fairly organizedFairly clear objectivesGood languageFairly confident delivery	10-14	- Some fairly good ideas - Quite feasible	10-14	- Answer questions fairly well / quite competently / fairly concisely / clearly		
Satisfactory	16-25	 Adequate knowledge of the subject and application of the knowledge. Fairly clear analysis of some areas of the problem Adequate project 	6-9	 Lacks good organization Some ideas are linked Not very clear objectives Not confident 	6-9	- Some ideas for research but not original / new	6-9	- Lacks ability to answer questions effectively		
Weak	0-15	 Little / no knowledge of the subject and application of the knowledge. Vague analysis of the problem Inadequate project 	0-5	 No organization Disjointed / confusing ideas Unclear objectives A nervous wreck 	0-5	- No / illogical ideas - Unfeasible ideas	0-5	- Does not understand questions - No ability to handle questions		

Note: The rubric may vary according to the respective programme's need.